Slate, J.L. and Others, 1999. Digital geologic map of the Nevada Test site and vicinity, Nye, Lincoln, and Clark Counties, Nevada, and Inyo County, California. U.S. Geological Survey, Open-File Report 99-554-A. Prepared in cooperation with

Fenelon, Joseph M and Others, 2010. USGS Professional Paper: 1771, Groundwater Flow Systems at the Nevada Test Site, Nevada: A Synthesis of Potentiometric Contours, Hydrostratigraphy and Geologic Structures.

U.S. Department of Energy, Nevada Operations Office. 2000. United States Nuclear Tests, July 1945 through September 1992, DOE/NV--209-REV 15. Las Vegas, NV.

Pawloski, G.A. 1999. Development of Phenomenological Models of Underground Nuclear Tests on Pahute Mesa, Nevada Test Site—BENHAM and TYBO, UCRL-ID-136003. Livermore, CA: Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory

the Nevada Operations Office, U.S. Department of Energy, Interagency Agreement DE-AI08-96NV11967.

olutons that originate below the model domain were also modeled as reference

horizons because they were largely defined from interpretations of geophysica

data and have not changed substantially from the Phase I model.

0000 540000 550000 560000 Easting — UTM Zone 11 NAD 27 (meters)

nesses from borehole data and outcrop traces; strikes and dips of units and faults from geologic maps; and geophysical data describing rock properties or unit

onstructed and modified 1000's of times to achieve the best possible match to data and the professional judgement of the geologists.

boundaries; as well as interpretive controls derived from cross-sections and structural contour maps. A particular strength of EV is the ability to automate nearly all

construction and output development processes. This automation capacity was heavily used in the Phase II PM HFM development process wherein the model was